

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10

11 ANDREW LEE,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.
14

15 THE PEP BOYS MANNY MOE AND
16 JACK OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

17 Defendants.
18

Case No.: C-12-05064 JSC

**ORDER RE: FEBRUARY 6, 2014
HEARING AND CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

19 On January 15, 2014, the Court ordered the parties that any discovery dispute presented to
20 the Court “must follow the Court’s Standing Order.” (Dkt. No. 78.) The Court’s Standing Order
21 regarding discovery disputes provides in part:

22 [After meeting and conferring, the parties] shall prepare a joint statement of not more
23 than eight pages (12-point or greater font) stating the nature and status of the dispute
24 and attesting to their good faith meet and confer efforts. Issue-by-issue, the joint letter
25 shall describe each unresolved issue, summarize each party’s position with
26 appropriate legal authority, and provide each party’s final proposed compromise
27 before addressing the next issue. It is preferable that the parties file a separate letter
28 for each dispute. Where necessary, the parties may submit supporting declarations
and documentation. Parties are expected to plan for and cooperate in preparing the
joint letter so that each side has adequate time to address the arguments.

1 The parties are strongly encouraged to submit a joint statement, but in the rare
2 instances when a joint statement is not possible, each side may submit a statement of
not more than two pages (12-point font or greater).

3 On February 3, 2014 the parties submitted a variety of documents, none of which comply with this
4 Court's Standing Order or the Court's January 15, 2014 Order. The submissions are neither in a
5 joint letter format nor within the page limitations set by the Standing Order. Further, the
6 submissions do not clearly identify the discovery disputes the parties wish for the Court to address.

7 Thus, for any present discovery dispute for which the parties seek resolution, the parties shall
8 submit a joint letter brief pursuant to the schedule set forth below:

9 Deadline for Plaintiff to submit his portion of the letter to Defendants: February 10, 2014

10 Deadline for Defendants to submit their portion of the letter to Plaintiff: February 18, 2014

11 Deadline for Plaintiff to submit the joint letter to Court: February 21, 2014

12 Hearing on the joint letter and Case Management Conference: February 27, 2014

13 The above schedule is meant to reflect the typical Motion, Opposition, Reply format used for
14 normal motion practice. Thus, Plaintiff is permitted to revise his portion of the joint letter before
15 submitting the letter to the Court.

16 The Case Management Conference scheduled for February 6, 2014 is accordingly
17 VACTAED.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 Dated: February 3, 2014

21 
22 JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28